1 Introduction

1.1 Model

1.1.1 Model Properties

The system consists of n asynchronous processes communicating via reliable point-to-point message passing.

Each process has a unique, unforgeable identifier and knows the identifiers of all other processes. Up to f < n processes may crash (fail-stop).

The network is reliable : if a correct process sends a message to another correct process, it is eventually delivered.

Messages are uniquely identifiable : two messages sent by distinct processes or at different rounds are distinguishable

2 messages sent by the same processus in two differents rounds are differents

Property 1 (Message Uniqueness) If two messages are sent by different processes, or by the same process in different rounds, then the messages are distinct. Formally :

$$\forall p_1, p_2, \ \forall r_1, r_2, \ \forall m_1, m_2, \ \left(\begin{array}{c} send(p_1, r_1, m_1) \land send(p_2, r_2, m_2) \\ \land \ (p_1 \neq p_2 \lor r_1 \neq r_2) \end{array}\right) \Rightarrow m_1 \neq m_2$$

1.1.2 Reliable Broadcast Properties

Property 2 Integrity Every message received was previously sent. Formally : $\forall p_i : bc \cdot recv_i(m) \Rightarrow \exists p_i : bc \cdot send_i(m)$

Property 3 No Duplicates No message is received more than once at any single processor. Formally :

 $\forall m, \forall p_i : bc\text{-}recv_i(m) \text{ occurs at most once}$

Property 4 Validity All messages broadcast by a correct process are eventually received by all non faulty processors.

Formally : $\forall m, \forall p_i : correct(p_i) \land bc\text{-}send_i(m) => \forall p_j : correct(p_j) \Rightarrow bc\text{-}recv_i(m)$

1.1.3 AtomicBroadcast Properties

Property 5 AB Totally ordered $\forall m_1, m_2, \forall p_i, p_j : ab \cdot recv_{p_i}(m_1) < ab \cdot recv_{p_i}(m_2) \Rightarrow ab \cdot recv_{p_j}(m_1) < ab \cdot recv_{p_j}(m_2)$

1.1.4 DenyList Properties

Let Π_M be the set of processes authorized to issue APPEND operations, and Π_V the set of processes authorized to issue PROVE operations.

Let S be the set of valid values that may be appended. Let Seq be the linearization of operations recorded in the DenyList.

Property 6 APPEND Validity An operation APPEND(x) is valid iff : the issuing process $p \in \Pi_M$, and the value $x \in S$

Property 7 PROVE Validity An operation PROVE(x) is valid iff : the issuing process $p \in \Pi_V$, and there exists no APPEND(x) that appears earlier in Seq.

Property 8 *PROGRESS If an APPEND(x) is invoked by a correct process, then all correct processes will eventually be unable to PROVE(x).*

Property 9 READ Validity READ() return a list of tuples who is a random permutation of all valids PROVE() associated to the identity of the emiter process.

1.2 Algorithms

We consider a set of processes communicating asynchronously over reliable point-to-point channels. Each process maintains the following local or shared variables :

- *received*: the set of messages that have been received via the reliable broadcast primitive but not yet ordered.
- *delivered* : the set of messages that have been ordered.
- prop[r][j]: the proposal set announced by process j at round r. It contains a set of messages that process j claims to have received but not yet delivered.
- $winner^r$: the set of processes that have issued a valid PROVE for round r, as observed through the registry.
- window : the list of the ids from the f + 1 last rounds. window.pop() remove the first value of the array. window.push(x) append x as the last value of the array.
- RB-cast(PROP, S, r, j): a reliable broadcast invocation that disseminates the proposal S from process j for round r.
- RB-delivered(PROP, S, r, j) : the handler invoked upon reception of a RB-cast, which stores the received proposal S into prop[r][j].
- READ() : returns the current view of all valid operations stored in the DenyList registry.
- ordered(S) : returns a deterministic total order over a set S of messages.
- hash(T,r): returns the identifier of the next round as a deterministic function of the delivered set T and current round r.

1.3 Round mecansism

We assume that the hash function is deterministic and without collisions. Because we're sure that the round contains at least f + 1 processes as winners, the next round ID is unpredictable by a process who would not follow the protocol and would drop messages legally sent by non-byzantine process. Also, it ensures that if a byzantine process try to go faster than the others, he will at least wait the faster non-byzantine process to progress.

1.4 proof

Theorem 1 (Integrity) If a message m is delivered by any process, then it was previously broadcast by some process via the AB-broadcast primitive.

Proof 1

Theorem 2 (No Duplication) No message is delivered more than once by any process.

Proof 2

Theorem 3 (Validity) If a correct process invokes AB-Broadcast_j(m), then all correct processes eventually deliver m.

Proof 3

Algorithm 1 Atomic Broadcast with DenyList

1 proves $\leftarrow \emptyset$ 1 received $\leftarrow \emptyset$ $1 \ \textit{delivered} \leftarrow \emptyset$ $1 \ window \leftarrow [\bot]^{f+1}$ $1 r_1 \leftarrow 0$ 1 **AB-Broadcast**_i(m) $\mathbf{2}$ RB-Broadcast_j(m)3 **RB-delivered**_i(m) $received \leftarrow received \cup \{m\}$ 4 $\mathbf{5}$ **repeat while** *received* \setminus *delivered* $\neq \emptyset$ $\mathbf{6}$ $S \leftarrow \textit{received} \setminus \textit{delivered}$ 7RB-broadcast(PROP, S, r_1, j) 8 $proves \leftarrow \text{READ}()$ 9 $PROVE[j](r_1)$ 10 $\texttt{APPEND}[j](r_1)$ 11 $S \leftarrow \{1, \dots, n\}$ repeat while $|S| \leq n - f$ 1213 forall $i \in S$ 14 if $\neg PROVE[i](r_1)$ $S \leftarrow S \setminus i$ 15 $winner[r_1] \leftarrow \texttt{READ_ALL}()$ 1617wait $\forall j \in winner[r_1], \ |prop[r_1][j] \neq \bot| \geq f+1$ $T \leftarrow \bigcup_{j \in winner[r_1]} prop[r_1][j] \setminus delivered$ 18for each $m \in \operatorname{ordered}(T)$ 1920 $delivered \leftarrow delivered \cup \{m\}$ 21 $AB-deliver_j(m)$ 22 $r_1 \leftarrow hash(T, r_1)$ 23 $\mathbf{READ}_\mathbf{ALL}(r)$ 24for each $j \in (1, ..., n)$ 25 $win[j] \leftarrow \{j_1 : \mathtt{READ}_{j_1}() \ni (j, \mathtt{PROVE}(r))\}$ 26 for $i \in (1, ..., n)$ 27for $j \in (1, ..., n)$ 28if $i \in win[j]$ 29count[i] + +30 return $\{i : count[i] \ge n - f\}$

Theorem 4 (Total Order) If two correct processes deliver two messages m_1 and m_2 , then they deliver them in the same order.

Proof 4