

???

JOLY Amaury

**Encadrants** : GODARD Emmanuel, TRAVERS Corentin

*Aix-Marseille Université, Scille*

2 mai 2025

# 1 Introduction

## 1.1 Model

### 1.1.1 Model Properties

The model is defined as Message-passing Aysnchronous.

There is  $n$  process. Each process is associated to a unique unforgeable id  $i$ .

Each process know the identity of all the process in the system

Each process have a reliable communication channel with all the others process such as :

- $\text{send}(m)$  is the send primitive
- $\text{recv}(m)$  is the reception primitive

A message send is eventually received

The system is Crash-Prone. There is at most  $f$  process who can crash such as  $f < n$ .

### 1.1.2 AtomicBroadcast Properties

**Property 1** *AB\_broadcast Validity* if a message is sent by a correct process, the message is eventually received by all the correct process.

**Property 2** *AB\_receive Validity* if a message is received by a correct process, the message is eventually received by all the correct process.

**Property 3** *AB\_receive safety No creation* if a message is received by a correct process, the message was emitted by a correct porcess.

**Property 4** *AB\_receive safety No duplication* each message is received at most 1 time by each process.

**Property 5** *AB\_receive safety Ordering*  $\forall m_1, m_2$  two messages,  $\forall p_i, p_j$  two process.  
if  $AB\_recv(m_1)$  and  $AB\_recv(m_2)$  for  $p_i, p_j$   
and  $AB\_recv(m_1)$  is before  $AB\_recv(m_2)$  for  $p_i$   
so  $AB\_recv(m_1)$  is before  $AB\_recv(m_2)$  for  $p_j$

### 1.1.3 DenyList Properties

**Property 6** *APPEND Validity* a  $APPEND(x)$  is valid iff the process  $p$  who sent the operation is such as  $p \in \Pi_M$ . And iff  $x \in S$  where  $S$  is a set of valid values.

**Property 7** *PROVE Validity* a  $PROVE(x)$  is valid iff the process  $p$  who sent the operation is such as  $p \in \Pi_V$ . And iff  $\exists APPEND(x)$  who appears before  $PROVE(x)$  in Seq.

**Property 8** *PROGRESS* if an  $APPEND(x)$  is invoked, so there is a point in the linearization of the operations such as all  $PROVE(x)$  are valids.

**Property 9** *READ Validity*  $READ()$  return a list of tuples who is a random permutation of all valids  $PROVE()$  associated to the identity of the emiter process.

## 1.2 Algorithms

We define  $k$  as the id of the round

the  $getMax(proves)$  return  $MAX((_, r) : \exists(., PROVE(r)) \in proves)$   
 $buffer$  a FIFO list with  $buffer[front]$  returning the first element

---

**Algorithm 1: Upon RB\_deliver(m)**

---

```
1  $rcvd = rcvd \cup \{m\}$ 
2 upon RB_deliver(PROP, r, S) from j
3  $prop[r][j] = S$ 
```

---

---

**Algorithm 2: AB\_Broadcast**

---

```
Input: le message  $m$ 
Data:  $rcvd = \emptyset$ 
 $delivered = \emptyset$ 
 $r = 0$ 
1 RB_cast(m)
2  $rcvd = rcvd \cup \{m\}$ 
3 while true do
4    $r = r + 1$ 
5    $RB\_cast(PROP, r, S)$ 
6   PROVE(r)
7   APPEND(r)
8    $proves = READ()$ 
9    $winner^r = \{j : (j, PROVE(r)) \in proves\}$ 
10  wait until  $(\forall j \in winner^k : prop[r][j])$ 
11  if  $\exists j \in winner^k : m \in prop[r][j]$  then
12    | break
13  end
14 end
```

---

---

**Algorithm 3: AB\_Listen**

---

```
1  $r\_prev = 0$ 
2 while true do
3    $proves = READ()$ 
4    $r\_max = MAX(\{r : \exists i, (i, PROVE(r)) \in proves\})$ 
5   for  $r = r\_prev + 1$  to  $r\_max$  do
6     APPEND(r)
7      $proves = READ()$ 
8      $winner^k = \{j : (j, PROVE(r)) \in proves\}$ 
9     wait until  $(\forall j \in winner^k : prop[r][j] \neq \emptyset)$ 
10     $M^r = (\bigcup_{j \in winner^k} prop[r][j]) \setminus delivered$ 
        /* we assume  $M^r$  as an ordered list s.a.  $\forall m_1, m_2, \text{if } m_1 < m_2, m_1$  appears
        before  $m_2$  in  $M^r$  */
11    foreach  $m \in M^r$  do
12      |  $delivered = delivered \cup \{m\}$ 
13      | AB_deliver(m)
14    end
15  end
16 end
```

---